

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIT	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/642,200	09/642,200 08/18/2000		Lisa C. Hammitt	BLAPP001	6794
22852	7590	12/07/2005		EXAM	INER
FINNEGA	N, HENDE	JEANTY, ROMAIN			
LLP 901 NEW Y	ORK AVEN	IUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT		•		3623	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/642,200	HAMMITT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Romain Jeanty	3623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Not</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 6-12 and 36-47 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-12 and 36-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examines 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the formula of the discountry of of th	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 3, 2005 has been entered. Claims 6-12, and 36-47 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 6 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 6 and 36, the limitation of "non-deterministically" is not supported by the specification. The examiner is unable to find where such limitation is disclosed in the specification. Therefore, one skilled in the art would not know how to make and/or use the invention

Application/Control Number: 09/642,200

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-12, and 36-45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6-8, 36-38, 42-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lang et al (Modeling Business Rules with Situation/Activation Diagram) in view of Collins et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,764,953).

As per claims 6-8, Nguyen et al discloses a system and method for providing enterprise event marketing. In so doing, Nguyen et al disclose receiving an event, categorizing the event, identifying a situation that matches the categorized receiving event, executing one or more tasks for the situation (See entire, the execution of the one or more tasks including interpretation of a business domain model to generate a recommendation for a business action (See 460 columns 1 and 2 of Lang et al). Official notice is taken that it is old and well known in the business art to recommend an action to be taken for an event such as business transaction. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Lang et al to include this well-known teaching into the disclosures of Lang et al so that a user could make a better business decision.

In addition, Collins teaches a system that integrates active and simulated decision-making processes generates decisions in response to events representing changes in a domain model (See abstract; and col. 7, lines 9-35). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Lang et al to include the teachings of Collins in order to allow a user to make a better business decision.

Claim 36 is a computer readable media having program instructions for performing the steps of method claim 6; therefore is rejected under the rationale relied upon of claim 6.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 9-12, 39-41 and 47 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Nguyen et al (US 2005/0209914) teaches providing enterprise event marketing and management automation, and a website is provided that includes online tools for event marketing and management.
- b. Yaung (U.S. Patent No. 6,405,215) discloses a workflow management system for When the monitoring indicates that the item meets the one or more specified conditions, under control of the workflow agent, whether an action is to be performed is determined. When it is determined that an action is to be performed, the action is performed.

Application/Control Number: 09/642,200 Page 5

Art Unit: 3623

c. Lang et al (Modeling Business Rules with Situation/Activation Diagrams)

disclose rules execution for modeling business.

d. Peter et al (Object-Orientation in Business Process Modeling through Applying

Event Driven Process Chains (EPC) in UML) disclose a method to improve business strategies

and business operations.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Romain Jeanty whose telephone number is (571) 272-6732. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30AM - 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tariq R. Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 28, 2005

Romain Jeanty

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3623